**Amendments to the Drawings** 

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Figures 5 and 6. This sheet, which

includes Figures 5 and 6, replaces the original sheet including Figures 5 and 6. In both Figures 5

and 6, a labeled rectangular box is added and identified as reference number 40 to represent the

remote control as described on page 6 lines 9-12 of the description.

Attachment:

Replacement Sheet

**Annotate Sheet Showing Changes** 

Appl. No. 10/808,407 Amdt. Dated May 18, 2006 Reply to Office action of Mar. 28, 2006 Attorney Docket: QSTR-102 Remarks

The Applicants have closely reviewed the Examiner's Office Action and appreciate the

notice that the application is in condition for allowance except for three formal matters.

First, the Examiner objects to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failure to show the

remote control of claims 35 and 38. 37 CFR 1.83(a) requires that drawings in nonprovisional

applications "must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims." However, the

rule also provides that "conventional features disclosed in the description and claims, where their

detailed illustration is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention, should be

illustrated in the drawing in the form of a graphical drawing symbol or a labeled representation

(e.g., a labeled rectangular box)." The Applicant respectfully asserts that the claimed remote

control is a conventional feature which is disclosed in the description on page 6, lines 9-12:

"Power for solenoid 17 may be provided in any conventional manner such as wires from the

control position and the vehicle battery, or from an internal power source which may be actuated

by any conventional remote control system such as a radio signal." Accordingly, the Applicant

hereby amends the drawings to illustrate the remote control in the form of a labeled

representation, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.83(a). The applicant also amends the description

on page 6 to include the new reference number assigned to the remote control.

Second, the Examiner objects to Claims 35-37 because they depend off of canceled

claims 1 and 2. In accordance with the Examiner's suggestion, the Applicant amends claims 35

and 36 to depend off of claim 34 and amends claim 37 to depend off of claim 35.

Third, the Examiner objects to claims 34 and 38 because the phrase "moved in becoming

engaged" is an awkward phrase. In accordance with the Examiner's suggestion, the Applicant

-7-

Appl. No. 10/808,407 Amdt. Dated May 18, 2006 Reply to Office action of Mar. 28, 2006 amends claims 34 and 38 to replace the phrase "moved in becoming engaged" with "became

engaged."

In view of the above mentioned amendments, the Applicant believes that the application

is now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests notice of the same.

The Applicant believes that no fees are due in connection with this application.

However, if fees are do, you are hereby authorized to deduct the required amounts from our

Deposit Account No. 02-0400 (Baker & McKenzie). In the event that the Applicant has

miscalculated the fees due, you are also authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any

underpayment. When identifying such a withdrawal, please use the Attorney Docket Number

OSTR-102.

If Examiner has any questions regarding this filing or the application in general,

Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's attorney at the below-listed address.

May 18, 2006

date

BAKER & McKENZIE LLP 130 E. Randolph Drive

Chicago, IL 60601

ph: 312-861-8024 fax: 312-698-2420

e-mail: daniel.a.tallitsch@bakernet.com

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel A. Tallitsch

Reg. No. 55,821

Appl. No. 10/808,407 Amdt. Dated May 18, 2006 Reply to Office action of Mar. 28, 2006 Attorney Docket: QSTR-102

-8-